arXiv:1701.08438v1 [stat.OT] 29 Jan 2017

Using a "Study of Studies" to help statistics students assess research findings

Azka Javaid Xiaofei (Susan) Wang Nicholas J. Horton*

January 31, 2017

*Address for correspondence: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Amherst College, AC#2239, PO Box 5000, Amherst, MA 01002-5000. Phone: 413-542-5655, email: nhorton@amherst.edu

Using a “Study of Studies” to help statistics students assess research findings

Introduction

The American Statistical Association’s Undergraduate Guidelines Workgroup in the Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Programs in Statistical Science emphasize the importance of study design as an essential skill needed for undergraduate programs in statistics (ASA 2014). Communication skills are also stressed along with teamwork and collaboration as essential elements for statistical practice. In addition, the Guidelines for Assessment and Instruction in Statistics Education (GAISE) College Report notes the importance of concepts like bias and causal inference in study design (ASA 2016). To achieve these goals, the GAISE College Report includes several recommendations, one of which reiterates the importance of fostering active learning through discussions (p. 18-20).

This article describes an activity that is appropriate for students in introductory and intermediate statistics courses to practice interpreting research results and scrutinizing the design and analysis of studies. The activity includes a component of group work to improve students’ communication and collaboration skills.

Traditional textbooks used in the introductory statistics curriculum stress the importance of survey design. As an example, the fourth edition of *Intro Stats* (IS) by Richard De Veaux, Paul F. Velleman and David E. Bock, reinforces concepts relevant to experimental design including bias, randomization and sample size in Chapter 12 (“Sample Surveys”) (De Veaux, Velleman & Bock 2013). Additional sample design concepts like observational studies, control groups, statistical significance and confounding variables are presented in Chapter 13 (“Experiments and Observational Studies”) of *Intro Stats*. Other textbooks (e.g., *OpenIntro Statistics*, (Diez, Barr & Çetinkaya Rundel 2015)) follow a similar approach.

How can textbook readings be reinforced in a class? We describe an activity to help students explore aspects of design, assess research findings in published papers, and critique representations and interpretations of original research.

The Activity

Study of Studies

“Study of Studies” is a column regularly published by the *The Atlantic* magazine. Each “Study of Studies” analyzes a different topic using published research articles, with full citations provided at the end. Table 1 lists the name, author, and date for the all the past published “Studies.”

For this activity, we utilized one of the “Study of Studies” articles titled “Diner Beware: How restaurants trick you into eating less and spending more” (`http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2015/04/`) (Lam 2015). Author Bourree Lam analyzes how restaurants manipulate seating arrangement, server posture, plate color and size, and music to attract more customers and revenue. Twelve research articles are

Title	Author	Publication Date
You Can Be Too Beautiful	James Hamblin	March 2013
The Queen Bee's Guide to Parenting	Lindsey Abrams	April 2013
Various Ways You Might Accidentally Get Drunk	James Hamblin	May 2013
The Unexpected Ways a Fetus Is Shaped by a Mother's Environment	Lindsey Abrams	June 2013
The Worst Time to Have Surgery	James Hamblin	July/August 2013
Is There Really Such a Thing as a 'Workaholic'?	Jordan Weissmann	September 2013
Violence Is Contagious	Rebecca J. Rosen	October 2013
Why You Look Like Your Dog	Sarah Yager	November 2013
How Women Change Men	Sarah Yager	December 2013
Who Cheats-and Why	Julie Beck	January/February 2014
Why You Can't Keep a Secret	Sarah Yager	March 2014
The Optimal Office	Julie Beck	April 2014
Our Gullible Brains	Sarah Yager	May 2014
Funny or Die	Julie Beck	June 2014
What is Art?	Matthew Hutson	July/August 2014
How to Look Smart	Julie Beck	September 2014
Status Anxiety	Matthew Hutson	October 2014
Keeping the Faith	Emma Green	November 2014
Faking It	Julie Beck	December 2014
You Are Just Like Me!	Matthew Hutson	January/February 2015
The Secret of Superstition	Matthew Hutson	March 2015
Diner Beware	Bourree Lam	April 2015
When Emotional Intelligence Goes Wrong	Andrew Giambrone	May 2015
The Hypocrisy of Professional Ethicists	Emma Green	June 2015
Palm Reading Is Real?	Eleanor Smith	July/August 2015
A Scientific Look at Bad Science	Bourree Lam	September 2015
Why We Compete	Matthew Hutson	October 2015
The Strange Origins of Urban Legends	Matthew Hutson	November 2015
Why You Bought That Ugly Sweater	Eleanor Smith	December 2015
A Strategic Guide to Swearing	Stephanie Hayes	January/February 2016
People Are Pretty Bad At Reading Faces	Naomi Sharp	March 2016
CEOs Behaving Badly	Alyza Sebenius	April 2016
How to Boast on the Sly	Matthew Hutson	May 2016
Life Isn't Fair	Matthew Hutson	June 2016
The Science of Beer Goggles	Stephanie Hayes	July/August 2016
The Charisma Effect	Matthew Hutson	September 2016
Do People Need Small Talk to Be Happy?	Stephanie Hayes	October 2016
How Voters Respond to Electoral Defeat	Ben Rowen	November 2016
Why Kids Need Recess	Alia Wong	December 2016

Table 1: List of past "Study of Studies" published by *The Atlantic*

summarized in short excerpts with full citations provided as footnotes. Table 2 displays the list of papers included in the "Diner Beware" column.

Title and Author	Publication
Odors and Consumer Behavior in a Restaurant [Guéguen and Petr 2006]	*International Journal of Hospitality Management*
Plate Size and Color Suggestibility [Ittersum and Wansink 2012]	*Journal of Consumer Research*
Assessing the Influence of the Color of the Plate on the Perception of a Complex Food in a Restaurant Setting [Fiszman, Giboreau and Spence 2013]	*Flavour*
Dining in the Dark [Scheibehenne, Todd and Wansink 2010]	*Appetite*
The Effect of Musical Style on Restaurant Customers' Spending [North, Shilcock and Hargreaves 2003]	*Environment and Behavior*
The Influence of Background Music on the Behavior of Restaurant Patrons [Milliman 1986]	*Journal of Consumer Research*
The Impact of Restaurant Table Characteristics on Meal Duration and Spending [Kimes and Robson 2004]	*Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*
How a Crowded Restaurant Affects Consumers' Attribution Behavior [Tse, Sin and Yim 2002]	*International Journal of Hospitality Management*
Lower Buffet Prices Lead to Less Taste Satisfaction [Just, Sigirci and Wansink 2014]	*Journal of Sensory Studies*
Determinants and Consequences of Female Attractiveness and Sexiness [Lynn 2009]	*Archives of Sexual Behavior*
Effect of Server Posture on Restaurant Tipping [Lynn and Mynier 1993]	*Journal of Applied Social Psychology*
Effect on Restaurant Tipping of Male and Female Servers Drawing a Happy, Smiling Face on the Backs of Customers' Checks [Rind and Bordia 1996]	*Journal of Applied Social Psychology*

Table 2: 12 papers included in Bourree Lam's "Study of Studies" on Restaurants and Dining (April, 2015 *The Atlantic* Magazine)

Implementation

The students were provided with copies of the one-page "Diner Beware" column, which was read aloud by the class. Next, they were split into groups of two to four students and each was provided a copy of one of the twelve research articles cited in "Diner Beware." The research articles ranged in length from 4 to 28 pages, with an average of 10 pages.

The students were asked to skim the research article and as a group, summarize the original research study design (i.e., describe the study's sample design, determine if the study was randomized or observational). They were asked to assess the validity of the claims presented in the "Diner Beware" regarding their research article.

Students a brief set of slides summarizing their original article using RMarkdown (Baumer, Çetinkaya

Rundel, Bray, Loi & Horton 2014). The RMarkdown slides were then shared with the class via RPubs, a platform for web publishing from RStudio (the slides could be submitted in other ways e.g. by emailing the instructor). Lastly, students were given 5-10 minutes to present their findings. The student presentations were intended to improve communication skills as well as allow students to gain experience with technological innovations like RPubs.

An example of this process can be presented with the research article titled "Odors and consumer behavior in a restaurant" (Guéguen & Petr 2006). Guéguen and Petr's work analyzed the effect of lemon and lavender scents on the duration of time and the amount of money spent by customers in a restaurant. They carried their study from 8 pm to 11 pm on three Saturdays in May with 88 patrons and hypothesized that lavender is considered a relaxing odor while lemon is a stimulating odor. Another example is presented by the research article titled "The Impact of Restaurant Table Characteristics on Meal Duration and Spending" (Kimes & Robson 2004). In this paper, Sherri E. Kimes and Stephani K. A. Robson assessed how table type and table location can affect average spending per minute (SPM) of a customer.

Lam summarizes Guéguen and Petr's research article with only the following: "particular scents also have an effect: diners who got a whiff of lavender stayed longer and spent more than those who smelled lemon, or no scent" (Lam 2015). Similarly Lam provides a terse summary for Kimes and Robson's article stating that "Diners at banquettes stayed the longest...Diners at bad tables-next to the kitchen door, say-spent nearly as much as others but soon fled." The students were asked to reconcile these statements with the conclusions presented in the original research articles.

Results

The activity was conducted with Introductory and Intermediate statistics students at Amherst College in Fall 2015 and Spring 2016 academic semesters. The Amherst College Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved this study. On average, 20-25 students in each class engaged in the activity. Approximately 80 minutes were allotted to the activity.

In summary, students correctly identified basic conceptual elements in the original studies' designs. These elements include sample size, the research question, conclusion, and the classification of the study as observational or randomized. Many students were skeptical of the brief claims about the original studies given in the "Study of Studies."

For example, student work correctly identified Guéguen and Petr's sample size of patrons from a small pizzeria in Brittany, France. The students also describe how "lavender, but not lemon, increased the length of stay of customers and the amount of purchasing," which indicates that the students' picked up on Guéguen and Petr's hypothesis and research conclusions.

The students criticized the way the conclusions were portrayed in the "Diner Beware" article; the students identify how the "Diner Beware" summary does not account for the possibility of "cultural bias/ geographical bias." Geographical bias stems from the fact that the study was only conducted in a small town

in France and so the conclusions regarding scent and customer spending behavior may not generalize well to people of non-French heritage or individuals from urban areas.

In their article, Guéguen and Petr acknowledge that a small sample size and the use of only one restaurant are limitations of their study; students picked up on these caveats. Student also recognized how "limiting the study to three Saturdays in May between 8pm-11pm further creates sampling bias (targets a specific population)." Daytime and weekday visitors are evidently not represented. Moreover since there was no replication, it is highly possible that another factor may have confounded the results.

Student analysis of Kimes and Robson's article also revealed comprehension of the research's design. In their analysis, the students correctly identify the sample size of 1,413 and the single-blinded nature of the study, since in students' words, "the participants did not know the true nature of the experiment." The students expressed skepticism regarding the causal statements made in Lam's article regarding Kimes and Robson's study, considering the observational nature of the study and the fact that Kimes and Robson "excluded some information, like the bar and patio seating" and that they "only took data from busy times." Kimes and Robson's limitations stem from the fact that they only used one restaurant to draw conclusions, a shortcoming that relates to the limitation students picked up in regards to the limited focus of the study (i.e., inattention paid to less busy hours). Another student group summarized the limitation of Lam's synthesis as the inability to generalize the original research's findings since the "conclusion for this specific restaurant may not apply to all restaurants." Students' propensity for critique allows for challenging conventions which produces a skepticism and curiosity driven outlook. This outlook though may need to challenged or reconsidered since student skepticism may be excessive.

Discussion

We described an activity that linked summaries of research studies with published scientific papers. In general, students accurately reported the original research's study design, in particular, the study's sample size, whether it was observational or experimental, and the general hypothesis as well as the overarching conclusions. Students were often critical of the extremely terse representations of the original research by Lam's "Diner Beware" article in *The Atlantic*'s "Study of Studies" column. This is not surprising given that the goal of the "Study of Studies" is to introduce provocative or idiosyncratic research findings and not to comprehensively review or assess them.

Time-permitting, the instructor might spend some time debunking misplaced criticism, ensuring that the students have a thorough understanding of the original research, can acknowledge credible published findings, and not develop "knee-jerk" skepticism.

Overall, this activity was successfully implemented. It raised awareness about study design as well as secondary representations of original research. The activity can be undertaken with introductory and intermediate statistics students in a single class period and may help improve communication skills by fostering discussion about experimental design. We recommend that the study be undertaken after one or

more lectures in study design. Conducting the study after few lectures would provide an informal student assessment and in the process, help reinforce previously-learned study design concepts.

We believe numerous other articles published in the "Study of Studies" column could be utilized in the same way as the "Diner Beware" article. Depending on student interest, other suitable articles include "Gullible Brains: How our senses influence our thoughts," "CEOs Behaving Badly: What a chief executive's golf game and handwriting say about his compensationand his leadership," "Status Anxiety: What the logos you're wearing really say," and "The Science of Beer Goggles: Alcohol makes people impulsive, vain, and uncharitableand it just might help them maintain committed relationships" (see Table 1 for a comprehensive list of candidate articles).

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge support from the Gregory Call Fund from the Amherst College Dean of the Faculty. We thank Tasheena Narraidoo for her assistance.

References

ASA (2014), 'Curriculum guidelines for undergraduate programs in statistical science', https://www.amstat.org/asa/education/Curriculum-Guidelines-for-Undergraduate-Programs-in-Statistical-Science.aspx.

ASA (2016), 'Revised guidelines for assessment and instruction in statistics education (GAISE) college report', http://www.amstat.org/education/gaise.

Baumer, B., Çetinkaya Rundel, M., Bray, A., Loi, L. & Horton, N. J. (2014), 'R markdown: Integrating a reproducible analysis tool into introductory statistics', *Technology Innovations in Statistics Education* **8**, 1–29.

De Veaux, D., Velleman, P. F. & Bock, D. E. (2013), *Intro Stats (4th edition)*, Pearson.

Diez, D. M., Barr, C. D. & Çetinkaya Rundel, M. (2015), *OpenIntro Statistics (3rd edition)*, OpenIntro.

Guéguen, N. & Petr, C. (2006), 'Odors and consumer behavior in a restaurant', *International Journal of Hospitality Management* **25**, 335–339.

Kimes, S. E. & Robson, S. K. A. (2004), 'The impact of restaurant table characteristics on meal duration and spending', *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly* **45**, 333–346.

Lam, B. (2015), 'Diner beware: How restaurants trick you into eating less and spending more', *The Atlantic* **April**, 29–29.